PrEP Targeting Strategies for US Adolescent Sexual Minority Males: A Modeling Study

Steven M. Goodreau,^{1,2*} Deven T. Hamilton,² Eli S. Rosenberg,³ Samuel M. Jenness,³ Rachel Kearns,³ Li Yan Wang,⁴ Richard L. Dunville,⁴ Lisa C. Barrios,⁴ Patrick S. Sullivan^{3,5}

¹Department of Anthropology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. ²Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. ³Department of Epidemiology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA. ⁴Division of Adolescent and School Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. ⁵Department of Global Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA.

BACKGROUND

- Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is an effective and safe intervention to prevent HIV transmission in men who have sex with men (MSM).
- Current CDC guidelines indicate use for sexually-active adult MSM at substantial HIV risk.¹
- Adolescent sexual minority males (ASMM)—ie, males under 18 who identify as gay or bisexual, or are sexually active with other males—also have significant HIV risk, as evidenced by studies of multiple types.²⁻⁶
- A recent demonstration project and safety study of PrEP in US ASMM aged 15–17 (ATN 113) shows strong promise, but lower adherence than among adult MSM.⁷
- There is currently little guidance on how best to prioritize or target PrEP among adolescent ASMM; models can inform this process.

OBJECTIVE

To estimate population impact and intervention efficiency of PrEP for adolescent sexual minority males (ASMM) in higher prevalence areas of the US, under various:

- implementation strategies
- coverage levels
- adherence levels
- levels of background HIV incidence

METHODS

- Model adapted from previously published adult model, with new age-specific parameters and features.
- Network-based mathematical modeling based in separable, temporal exponential random graph models (STERGMs).⁸
- Characteristics of sexual acts, HIV transmission, and HIV disease progression were simulated on top of dynamic sexual networks using EpiModel (www.epimodel.org).
- Adolescents could enter population of interest via male-male anal sexual debut at any age 13-18; or via development of gay/bisexual identity at any age 13-18, with subsequent anal sexual debut.
- We included an additional constant hazard of infection from non-ASMM, which increased with age and varied with an individual's overall relational propensity.
- Adolescent-specific sexual behavior parameters were drawn from the published literature,⁹⁻¹¹ and from new analyses of the American Men's Internet Survey (adolescent subsample),¹² InvolveMENt Study,¹³ and MAN Project.¹⁴
- We calibrated our model to 7% observed HIV prevalence among 18-year-old ASMM in the InvolveMENt cohort (Atlanta).¹⁵ This corresponded in our model to 2.90% prevalence across the 13-18 year-old age group.
- Retention and adherence to PrEP regimens were derived from ATN 113 (with adherence averaged across study visits).



CROI 2017 Abstract 1033 Funding CDC U38-PS004646 NIH R21-HD075662 NIH R01-HD068395

METHODS (CONT.)



This effort was supported by Cooperative Agreement Number 5U38PS004646 by the National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, as part of the NCHHSTP Epidemiologic and Economic Modeling Cooperative Agreement. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the Department of Health and Human Services.

N/AN/A6 months after eligibility at point of eligibility at point of eligibility when seeking first sexual partnership40%40%(20.9%, 24.4%, 13.1%, 41.6%)at point of eligibility at point of eligibility40%
<u>6 months after eligibility</u> <u>at point of eligibility</u> <u>6 months after eligibility</u> <u>at point of eligibility</u> when seeking first sexual partnership at point of eligibility <u>at point of eligibility</u> <u>20%</u>
at point of eligibility6 months after eligibilityat point of eligibilitywhen seeking first sexual partnership40%13.1%, 41.6%)at point of eligibility20%
6 months after eligibility at point of eligibility when seeking first sexual partnership 40% 13.1%, 41.6%)
at point of eligibility 40% (20.9%, 24.4%, 13.1%, 41.6%) when seeking first sexual partnership 40% 13.1%, 41.6%) at point of eligibility 20%
when seeking first sexual partnership 40% (20.3%, 24.4%, 13.1%, 41.6%) at point of eligibility
at point of eligibility
20%
6 months after eligibility 30% (20.9%, 24.4%,
50% 13.1%, 41.6%
60%
20%
30% (4.60/ 18.50/
6 months after eligibility 40% 16.0% , 10.5% , 40%
50%
60%
20%
6 months after eligibility 40% $(40.2\%, 20.5\%)$
50%
60%
N/A
6 months after eligibility
at point of eligibility (20.9%, 24.4%,
N/A 40% 13.1%, 41.6%)
6 months after eligibility
at point of eligibility

FIG 2: Percent of infections averted (PIA) and number needed to treat (NNT) for sensitivity analyses on adherence and coverage (w/ 95% credible interval)

- prevalence setting).
- overall poorer adherence.

- with feasibility and acceptability.
- the value of scaling up ASMM PrEP.
- infection in the United States-2014: a clinical practice guideline. Service 2014.
- Kann L, Olsen EO, McManus T, et al. Sexual Identity, Sex of Sexual Contacts, and Health-Related Behaviors Among Students in Grades 9–12 — United States and Selected Sites, New York City. Am J Public Health. 2013;103(5):889-895. 2015. MMWR Surveill Summ 2016;65(No. SS-9):1–202. DOI: 11. Hidalgo MA, Kuhns LM, Hotton AL, Johnson AK, Mustanski B, Garofalo R. The MyPEEPS http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6509a1 randomized controlled trial: a pilot of preliminary efficacy, feasibility, and acceptability of a group-level, HIV risk reduction intervention for young men who have sex with men. Arch
- Garofalo R, Hotton AL, Kuhns LM, Gratzer B, Mustanski B. Incidence of HIV infection and Sexually Transmitted Infections and Related Risk Factors among Very Young Men Who Have Sex with Men. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2016. 12. Sanchez T, Zlotorzynska M, Sineath C, Kahle E, Sullivan P. The Annual American Men's Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention. Diagnoses of HIV Infection in the United States and
- Dependent Areas, 2014. http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hivsurveillance-report-us.pdf. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control;2015. Wejnert C, Le B, Rose CE, et al. HIV infection and awareness among men who have sex with men-20 cities, United States, 2008 and 2011. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):e76878.
- cohort study. Annals of epidemiology. 2015;25(6):445-454. Hernandez-Romieu AC, Sullivan PS, Rothenberg R, et al. Heterogeneity of HIV Prevalence Wejnert C, Hess KL, Rose CE, et al. Age-Specific Race and Ethnicity Disparities in HIV Infection and Awareness Among Men Who Have Sex With Men--20 US Cities, 2008-2014. Among the Sexual Networks of Black and White Men Who Have Sex With Men in Atlanta: The Journal of infectious diseases. 2016;213(5):776-783. Illuminating a Mechanism for Increased HIV Risk for Young Black Men Who Have Sex With Men. Sexually transmitted diseases. 2015;42(9):505-512. Hosek, S. G., Rudy, B., Landovitz, R., Kapogiannis, B., Siberry, G., Rutledge, B., ... & Lally, M. (2017). An HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis Demonstration Project and Safety Study for Sullivan PS, Peterson J, Rosenberg ES, et al. Understanding racial HIV/STI disparities in Young MSM. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 74(1), 21-29 black and white men who have sex with men: a multilevel approach. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e90514. Krivitsky PN, Handcock MS. A separable model for dynamic networks. J R Stat Soc B.

Contact

2014;76(1):29-46

goodreau@uw.edu



RESULTS (CONT.)



• PIA increases roughly linearly with coverage, while NNT is lowest with low coverage.

More pessimistic adherence only changes PIA and NNT modestly.

In settings with lower background prevalence (results not shown in figs), NNT increases dramatically, to 73 and 59 (in a moderate prevalence area with broad targeting and riskbased targeting, respectively), or to 121 and 100 (for the same scenarios in the low

DISCUSSION

• Our models suggest that PrEP has the potential to reduce population-level HIV incidence significantly among communities of adolescent sexual minority males (ASMM).

In high prevalence settings, intervention efficiency is comparable to adult MSM, despite

Focusing on ASMM with the highest sexual risk behaviors decreases NNT considerably, with the added challenge of ascertaining elements of sexual history beyond sexual identity.

• Targeting 16–18 year-olds has almost as large an impact as 13–18, and more efficiency, and likely has higher feasibility and acceptability.

A 6-month delay in initiating PrEP after debut affects results marginally relative to immediate initiation, so a system of annual evaluations should represent a good balance of effectiveness

Our results provide a guide for jurisdictions to weigh their own estimates of ASMM HIV prevalence with their tolerance for levels of intervention impact and efficiency, in determining

REFERENCES

Centers for Disease Control Prevention. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV 9 Rotheram-Borus MJ, Reid H, Rosario M. Factors mediating changes in sexual HIV risk behaviors among gay and bisexual male adolescents. Am J Public Health. http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/guidelines/ PrEPguidelines2014.pdf. Atlanta: U.S. Public Health 1994;84(12):1938-1946 10. Halkitis PN, Kapadia F, Siconolfi DE, et al. Individual, psychosocial, and social correlates of unprotected anal intercourse in a new generation of young men who have sex with men in

Sex Behav. 2015;44(2):475-485.

Internet Survey of Behaviors of Men Who have Sex with Men in the United States: 2014

in black and white men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA: a prospective observational

13. Sullivan PS, Rosenberg ES, Sanchez TH, et al. Explaining racial disparities in HIV incidence

Key Indicators Report. JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2016;2(1):e23.

